Category: Financial Incentives Proposer: Requested Budget: \$ Maximum Budget: \$		
I. <u>Technical Criteria</u> (55 possible points)	Score	Possible
Compliance with solicitation requirements		<u>10</u>
Extent of estimated annual benefits in terms of jobs created/retained, energy saved, renewable energy generated and GHG emissions reduced.		<u>10</u>
Multi-entity proposal		<u>2</u>
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits.		<u>5</u>
Qualifications and experience of key personnel and any consultants or contractors on this project, and performance on previous ADECA projects		<u>10</u>
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>3</u>
II. Cost Criteria (10 possible points)		
Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
III. Program Specific (35 possible points)		
Proposed management structure including clear commitment from all participating local governments		<u>15</u>
Proposed methods for ensuring proper oversight and accountability for funds		<u>20</u>
Total Points:		<u>100</u>

	egory: Energy Retrofit Grant Program poser:	Reviewer: Date:	
Req	uested Budget: \$ ximum Budget: \$	Date.	
l.	Technical Criteria (55 possible points)	Score	Possible
	Compliance with solicitation requirements		<u>10</u>
	Extent of estimated annual benefits in terms of jobs created/retained, energy saved, renewable energy generated and GHG emissions reduced.		<u>10</u>
	Multi-entity proposal		<u>2</u>
	Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
	Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
	Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits.		<u>5</u>
	Qualifications and experience of key personnel and any consultants or contractors on this project, and performance on previous ADECA projects	;	<u>10</u>
	Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
	Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>3</u>
II.	Cost Criteria (10 possible points)		
	Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
III.	Program Specific (35 possible points)		
	Accurate estimate of retrofit costs, associated annual energy cost savings and payback period. Related energy savings, reduced CO_2 emissions and job creation/retention as a result of this project.		<u>20</u>
	Detailed information on proposed retrofits and equipment purchases must be included.		<u>15</u>
	Total Points	:	<u>100</u>
	NOTES: Proposals: Must include Addendum "A" as part of their submittal.		

Reviewer:

Methane Recovery and Use Products

Category:

Pro	pos	er:	Date:	
Re	ques	ted Budget: \$		
Ma	xim	um Budget: \$		
l.	Tec	hnical Criteria (55 possible points)	Score	Possible
		Compliance with solicitation requirements		<u>10</u>
		Extent of estimated annual benefits in terms of jobs created/retained, energy displaced, renewable energy generated and GHG emissions reduced.		<u>10</u>
		Multi-entity proposal		<u>2</u>
		Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
		Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
		Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits.		<u>5</u>
		Qualifications and experience of key personnel and any consultants or contractors on this project, and performance on previous ADECA projects		<u>10</u>
		Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
		Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>3</u>
II.	Cos	t Criteria (10 possible points)		
		Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
III.	<u>Pr</u>	ogram Specific (35 possible points)		
		Ability to capture and use methane to produce renewable energy on-site for nearby end use and for sale back to the electric grid.		<u>20</u>
		Energy effectiveness: 10 Mbtus source per \$1,000 invested		<u>15</u>
		Total Points:		<u>100</u>
		NOTES:		

ategory: Traffic Signals/ Street Lighting oposer:	Reviewer: Date:		
equested Budget: \$ aximum Budget: \$	Date.		
<u>Technical Criteria</u> (55 possible points)	Score	Possible	
Compliance with solicitation requirements		<u>10</u>	
Extent of estimated annual benefits in terms of jobs created/retained, energy saved, renewable energy generated and GHG emissions reduced.		<u>10</u>	
Multi-entity proposal		<u>2</u>	
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>	
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>	
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits.		<u>5</u>	
Qualifications and experience of key personnel and any consultants or contractors on this project, and performance on previous ADECA projects		<u>10</u>	
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>	
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>3</u>	
Cost Criteria (10 possible points)	.1		
Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>	
Program Specific (35 possible points)	<u>.II</u>	ı	
Extent of anticipated benefits of the project - (energy and maintenance saving, total life cycle savings, CO_2 emissions avoided)		<u>20</u>	
Proposed monitoring of energy consumption.		<u>15</u>	
Total Points:	:	100	
Separate Project Activitiy Sheets Must be used for replacement of lighting and of lighting.	d synchroniz	ation	

er: Renewable Energy Grant Programs for Local Governments	Reviewer: Date:	
sted Budget: \$		
um Budget: \$ chnical Criteria (55 possible points)	Score	Possil
		T 05511
Compliance with solicitation requirements		<u>10</u>
Extent of estimated annual benefits in terms of jobs created/retained, energy saved, renewable energy generated and GHG emissions reduced.		<u>10</u>
Multi-entity proposal		<u>2</u>
Description of each element to be accomplished. Task elements should be quantifiable, clearly defined, and measurable; clearly stated goals and objectives.		<u>5</u>
Proposed plan to complete the project in a timely manner. Detailed description of deliverables and time lines for task completion.		<u>5</u>
Methods for measuring program effectiveness and benefits.		<u>5</u>
Qualifications and experience of key personnel and any consultants or contractors on the project, and performance on previous ADECA projects	nis	<u>10</u>
Potential for sustainability and the ability to extend the impact of funds provided		<u>5</u>
Degree of leveraging for proposed project		<u>3</u>
st Criteria (10 possible points)		-
Appropriateness for project and cost breakdown for each proposed task.		<u>10</u>
ogram Specific (35 possible points)		•
Extent of anticipated benefits of the project in terms of renewable energy produced, emissions reduction		<u>20</u>
Provide monitoring of renewable energy production		<u>15</u>
Total Poir	nts:	100
NOTES:		